

Facsimile Message From  
Law Offices  
**PERMAN & GREEN, LLP**  
425 Post Road  
Fairfield, Connecticut 06824 USA  
Facsimile Number: (203) 255-5170  
Telephone Number: (203) 259-1800

TO: Examiner Pannala, Art Unit: 2177 FAX NO: 703/746-7238

DATE: October 23, 2002

FROM: Melvin J. Scolnick

RE: S.N. 09/448,804

P&G Reference: 690-008854-US(PAR)  
D199253

Number of Pages, including this sheet, being transmitted: 8

---

➤ Please confirm receipt of this transmission

☐ The original of this facsimile will be sent to you via mail

---

THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE  
AND MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL OR LEGALLY PRIVILEGED INFORMATION

If you are not the intended recipient you are hereby notified that any use or dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you receive this transmission in error please notify us immediately so that we can arrange for the return of the documents to us at no cost to you.

---

Received from < > at 10/23/02 2:13:20 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

#7  
10/25/02  
A.W.

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT(s): D. Salgado et al.

SERIAL NO.: 09/448,804

ART UNIT: 2177

FILING DATE: 11/24/99

EXAMINER: S. Pannala

TITLE: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MANAGING SOFTWARE  
COPYRIGHT YEARS IN A MULTIPLE PLATFORM  
ELECTRONIC REPROGRAPHICS SYSTEM

ATTORNEY

DOCKET NO.: D/99253; 690-008859-US (PAR)

BOX AF

Commissioner of Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231Official  
RECEIVED  
10/23/02

## AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed July 30, 2002 in regard to the above-identified patent application.

REMARKS

Claims 1-14 are pending in this application. In view of the following remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the claims of this application are now in condition for allowance, and such favorable action is hereby requested.

Applicant is completely confused by the fact that the Examiner has not approved the Abstract because as the Examiner has stated "...it fails to give necessary technical disclosure gist." What can be considered more than the main point of applicants invention than an abstract which describes in abstract form